arXiv:1208.3040v1 [math.DG] 15 Aug 2012

CONFORMAL INVARIANTS FROM NODAL SETS

YAIZA CANZANI, A. ROD GOVER, DMITRY JAKOBSON, AND RAPHAËL PONGE,
WITH AN APPENDIX BY A. ROD GOVER AND ANDREA MALCHIODI*

Abstract. In this paper, we study conformal invariants that arise from nodal sets and negative eigenvalues of conformally covariant operators; more specifically, the GJMS operators, which include the Yamabe and Paneitz operators. We give several applications to curvature prescription problems. We establish a version in conformal geometry of Courant's Nodal Domain Theorem. We also show that on any manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, there exist many metrics for which our invariants are nontrivial. We prove that the Yamabe operator can have an arbitrarily large number of negative eigenvalues on any manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. We obtain similar results for some higher order GJMS operators on some Einstein and Heisenberg manifolds. We describe the invariants arising from the Yamabe and Paneitz operators associated to left-invariant metrics on Heisenberg manifolds. Finally, in the appendix, the 2nd named author and Andrea Malchiodi study the Q-curvature prescription problems for non-critical Q-curvatures.

1. Introduction

Nodal sets of eigenfunctions were first considered in the 18th century by Ernst Chladni in his 1787 paper *Entdeckungen über die Theorie des Klanges* on vibrating plates. More recently, some important results about nodal sets were obtained by Courant [CH], Pleijel [Pl], Cheng-Yau [CYau] and Donnelly-Fefferman [DF], among others. The geometry and topology of nodal sets and nodal domains of high energy eigenfunctions of the Laplacian have also been studied in quantum chaos, in particular in connection of random wave theory (see, e.g., [BS, NS, TZ]).

Conformally invariant operators with leading term a power of the Laplacian Δ_g have been central in mathematics and physics for over 100 years. The earliest known of these is the conformally invariant wave operator which was first constructed for the study of massless fields on curved spacetime (see, e.g., Dirac [Di]). Its Riemannian signature elliptic variant, usually called the Yamabe operator, controls the transformation of the Ricci scalar curvature under conformal rescaling and so plays a critical role in the Yamabe problem on compact Riemannian manifolds. A conformal operator with principal part Δ_g^2 is due to Paneitz [Pa], and sixth-order analogues were constructed by Branson [Br1] and Wünsch [Wü].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J50, 53A30, 53A55, 53C21.

Key words and phrases. Spectral geometry, conformal geometry, nodal sets, Q_k-curvature.

Y.C. was supported by Schulich Fellowship of McGill University (Canada). A.R.G. was supported by Marsden Grant 10-UOA-113 (New Zealand). D.J. was supported by NSERC and FQRNT grants and Dawson Fellowship of McGill University (Canada). R.P. was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid (Japan) and Research Resettlement Fund of Seoul National University (Korea).

*AM: SISSA, Trieste, Italy. *E-mail address*: malchiod@sissa.it.

Two decades ago Graham, Jenne, Mason and Sparling (GJMS) solved a major existence problem in [GJMS], where they used the ambient metric of Fefferman-Graham [FG1, FG3] to show the existence of conformally invariant differential operators $P_{k,g}$ (to be referred to as the GJMS operators) with principal part Δ_g^k. In odd dimensions, k is any positive integer, while in dimension n even, k is a positive integer no more than $\frac{n}{2}$. The $k=1$ and $k=2$ cases recover the Yamabe and Paneitz operators, respectively. Furthermore, the GJMS operators are intimately related to the Q_k-curvatures $Q_{k,g}$ identified by Branson [Br2, Br3] (see also Section 2); the $Q_{\frac{n}{2},g}$-curvature is also known as Branson's Q-curvature.

The aim of this article is to study conformal invariants arising from nodal sets and negative eigenvalues of GJMS operators. In particular, we give some applications to curvature prescription problems. Various authors have considered spectral theoretic functions associated to conformally covariant operators, e.g., Parker-Rosenberg [PR], Osgood-Phillips-Sarnak [OPS], Branson-Ørsted [BØ1], Branson-Chang-Yang [BCY], Chang-Yang [CY], and Okikiolu [Ok]. However, to our knowledge this is the first time that nodal sets have been considered generally in the setting of conformal geometry.

A first observation is that nodal sets and nodal domains of any null-eigenfunction of a GJMS operator are conformal invariants (Proposition 3.1). In case of the critical GJMS operator $P_{\frac{n}{2},g}$, it can be further shown this feature is actually true for any level set (Proposition 3.3). Notice that these results actually hold for more general conformally invariant operators, including the fractional conformal powers of the Laplacian (see Remark 3.5).

Here we look at the negative eigenvalues of the GJMS operators. In particular, we show that the number of negative eigenvalues of a GJMS operator is a conformal invariant (Theorem 4.2). It was shown by Kazdan-Warner [KW2] that the sign of the first eigenvalue of the Yamabe operator is a conformal invariant. We prove that this result actually holds for all GJMS operators (Theorem 4.3). Once again these results hold for general conformally invariant operators (see Remark 4.5).

A natural question is whether, for a given operator, the number of negative eigenvalues can become arbitrarily large as the conformal class varies. We prove that this indeed the case for the Yamabe operator on any connected manifold (Theorem 4.6). The proof relies on a deep existence result of Lokhamp [Lo2]. We give a more explicit proof in case of products with hyperbolic surfaces, see Proposition 4.7. Furthermore, on the product of a hyperbolic manifold with a hyperbolic surface we construct hyperbolic metrics for which various higher order GJMS operators have arbitrary large numbers of negative eigenvalues (see Theorem 4.8 for the precise statement). In addition, we prove a version of Courant's Nodal Domain Theorem in conformal geometry: if the Yamabe operator has m negative eigenvalues, then its null-eigenfunctions have at most $m+1$ nodal domains (Theorem 4.10).

The problem of prescribing the curvature (Gaussian or scalar) of a given compact manifold is very classical and is known as the *Kazdan-Warner problem* (see [Au, KW1] and the references therein). The extension of this question to Branson's Q-curvature has proved to be an important problem for the development of mathematical ideas (see, e.g., [BFR, Bren, CGY, CY, DM, DR, MS]).

We also look at some constraints on curvature prescription in terms of nodal sets. A main result is Theorem 5.2 which states that, if u is a null-eigenfunction for the Yamabe operator $P_{1,g}$ and Ω is a nodal domain of u, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|\, P_{1,g}(v)\, dv_g = -\int_{\partial\Omega} v\, \|\nabla_g u\|_g\, d\sigma_g \qquad \forall v \in C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

Another main result is Theorem 5.4 which asserts that, if a function f is the scalar curvature of some metric in the conformal class of g, then there is a smooth function $\omega > 0$ such that, for any null-eigenfunction u of the Yamabe operator and any nodal domain Ω of u,

$$\int_{\Omega} f|u|\omega\, dv_g < 0.$$

As a corollary, we see that, if $R_{\hat{g}}$ is the scalar curvature of some metric in the conformal class of g, then $R_{\hat{g}}$ cannot be positive everywhere on Ω.

We illustrate our results on nodal sets and negative eigenvalues in the case of the Yamabe and Paneitz operators for left-invariant metrics on a Heisenberg manifold $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}_d$, obtained as the quotient of the $(2d+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg $\mathbb{H}_d$ group by some lattice Γ. Using the representation theory of the Heisenberg group, we are able to give spectral resolutions for the Yamabe and Paneitz operators (see Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 6.9). Interestingly enough, some of the eigenfunctions involve Θ-functions. As a result, this enables us to explicitly describe their nodal sets (see Proposition 6.6). Furthermore, we can give lower bounds for the number of negative eigenvalues of the Yamabe and Paneitz operators, which shows that these operators can have an arbitrarily large number of negative eigenvalues; the bounds involve the volume of $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}_d$ (see Proposition 6.5 and Proposition 6.10).

For Branson's Q-curvature it was shown by Malchiodi [Ma] (when $\int Q = 0$) and Gover [Go3] (for the general case) that if the kernel of the critical GJMS operator contains nonconstant functions, then there is an infinite-dimensional space of functions that cannot be the Q-curvature of any metric in the conformal class.

In the appendix, by the second named author and Andrea Malchiodi, it is shown that, surprisingly, similar results are available for the non-critical Q-curvatures; these are the curvature quantities $Q_{k,g}$, $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$. The main result is Theorem A.2 which proves that for $0 \neq u \in \ker P_{k,g}$ any function s_u on M, with the same strict sign as u, cannot be $Q_{k,\widehat{g}}$ for any metric $\widehat{g}$ in the conformal class. In particular this potentially obstructs achieving constant Q_k-curvature (see Theorem A.5). Theorem A.2 is also used to identify a space $\mathcal{I}$ of functions, determined by the conformal structure (and in general properly contained in $C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$), which contains the range of $Q_{k,g}$, as g ranges over the conformal class (see Theorem A.4 for the precise statement).

Various open problems and conjectures are gathered in Section 7. Further invariants will be considered in a forthcoming paper [CGJP2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the main definitions and properties of the GJMS operators and Q-curvatures. In Section 3, we study the nodal sets of GJMS operators. In Section 4, we study the negative eigenvalues of GJMS operators. In Section 5, we discuss curvature prescription problems. In Section 6, we study the nodal sets and negative eigenvalues of Yamabe and Paneitz associated to left-invariant metrics on Heisenberg manifolds. In Section 7, we present various open problems and conjectures. Finally, the appendix by the 3rd named author and Andrea Malchiodi deals with Q-curvature prescriptions for non-critical Q-curvatures.

The results of this paper were announced in [CGJP1].

2. GJMS operators and Q-curvatures

Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. A *conformally covariant differential operator* of biweight (w, w') is a covariant differential operator P_g such that, under any conformal change of metric $\hat{g} := e^{2\Upsilon} g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, it transforms according to the formula

$$P_{\hat{g}} = e^{-w'\Upsilon} P_g e^{w\Upsilon}. \tag{2.1}$$

An important example of a conformally invariant differential operator is the *Yamabe operator* (a.k.a. conformal Laplacian),

$$P_{1,g} := \Delta_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g, \tag{2.2}$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature. This is a conformally invariant operator of biweight $\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2} + 1\right)$.

Another example is the *Paneitz operator*,

$$P_{2,g} := \Delta_g^2 + \delta V d + \frac{n-4}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \Delta_g R_g + \frac{n}{8(n-1)^2} R_g^2 - 2|S|^2 \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $S_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-2}(\mathrm{Ric}_{g_{ij}} - \frac{R_g}{2(n-1)} g_{ij})$ is the Schouten-Weyl tensor and V is the tensor $V_{ij} = \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} R_g g_{ij} - 4S_{ij}$ acting on 1-forms (i.e., $V(\omega_i dx^i) = (V_i{}^j \omega_j) dx^i$). The Paneitz operator is a conformally invariant operator of biweight $\left(\frac{n}{2} - 2, \frac{n}{2} + 2\right)$.

A generalization of the Yamabe and Paneitz operators is provided by the GJMS operators. They were constructed by Graham, Jenne, Mason and Sparling in [GJMS] by using the ambient metric of Fefferman-Graham [FG1, FG3] (see also [GP, GZ, Ju] for formulas for, and features of, the GJMS operators).

Proposition 2.1 ([GJMS])**.** *For $k = 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$ when n is even, and for all non-negative integers k when n is odd, there is a conformally invariant operator $P_k = P_{k,g}$ of biweight $\left(\frac{n}{2} - k, \frac{n}{2} + k\right)$ such that*

$$P_{k,g} = \Delta_g^{(k)} + \text{ lower order terms.} \tag{2.4}$$

When n is even the ambient metric is obstructed at finite order by Fefferman-Graham's obstruction tensor (see [FG1, FG3]). This is a conformally invariant tensor which agrees in dimension 4 this tensor agrees with the Bach tensor. As a result, the ambient metric construction of the GJMS operators P_k breaks down for $k > \frac{n}{2}$, when n is even. In fact, as proved by Graham [GH] in dimension 6 and Gover-Hirachi [GH] in higher dimensions, in general there do not exist conformally invariant operators with same leading part as Δ_g^k for $k > \frac{n}{2}$ when n is even. For this reason, the operator $P_{\frac{n}{2},g}$ is sometimes called the *critical GJMS operator*. Notice that for $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ the transformation law becomes

$$P_{\frac{n}{2}, e^{2\Upsilon} g} = e^{-n\Upsilon} P_{\frac{n}{2}, g} \qquad \forall \Upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

When n is even and the metric g is conformally Einstein, the Fefferman-Graham obstruction tensor vanishes, and the ambient metric exists at any order. Therefore, in this case, the GJMS construction can be carried at any order. Furthermore, when g is actually Einstein, say $\mathrm{Ric}_g = \lambda(n-1)g$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, it was shown by Graham [Gr2, FG3] and

Gover [Go2] (see also Guillarmou-Naud [GN] for constant sectional curvature spaces) that

$$P_{k,g} = \prod_{1\leq j\leq k}\left(\Delta_g + \frac{\lambda}{4}(n+2j-2)(n-2j)\right). \tag{2.5}$$

The GJMS operators $P_{k,g}$ are formally self-adjoint (see [GZ, FG2]). Moreover, they are intimately related to the Q_k-curvatures identified by Branson [Br2, Br3]. For $k = 1, \cdots, \frac{n}{2}-1$ when n is even and for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ when n is odd, the Q_k-curvature is defined by

$$Q_k = Q_{k,g} := \frac{2}{n-2k}P_{k,g}(1). \tag{2.6}$$

When n is even, the $Q_{\frac{n}{2}}$-curvature (a.k.a. Branson's Q-curvature) is defined by analytic continuation arguments (see [BØ2, Br1]; see also [GZ, FG2]).

For instance, it follows from (2.2)–(2.3) that

$$Q_{1,g} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R_g \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_2 = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\Delta_g R_g + \frac{n}{8(n-1)^2}R_g^2 - 2|S_{2,g}|^2.$$

As explained in [BG2],

$$P_{k,g} = \delta S_{k,g} d + \frac{n-2k}{2}Q_{k,g}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $S_{k,g}$ is an operator acting on 1-forms. In particular, we see that the critical GJMS operator $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ kills the constant functions. It follows from (2.7) that, when $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$, under a conformal change of metric $\hat{g} := e^{2\Upsilon}g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$,

$$Q_{k,\hat{g}} = e^{-2k\Upsilon}Q_{k,g} + \frac{2}{n-2k}e^{-\Upsilon\left(\frac{n}{2}+k\right)}\delta S_{k,g} d e^{\Upsilon\left(\frac{n}{2}-k\right)}.$$

When n is even, for $k = \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$Q_{\frac{n}{2},\hat{g}} = e^{-n\Upsilon}Q_{\frac{n}{2},g} + e^{-n\Upsilon}P_{\frac{n}{2},g}(\Upsilon). \tag{2.8}$$

Finally, let us mention that there is a rather general theory for the existence of linear conformally invariant differential operators due to Eastwood-Slovák [ES]. Further conformally invariant differential objects were also constructed by, e.g., Alexakis [Al1, Al2].

3. NODAL SETS OF GJMS OPERATORS

Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold ($n \geq 3$). In addition, let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and further assume $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ when n is even.

It is convenient to look at a conformally covariant operator as a linear operator between spaces of conformal densities. Throughout the sequel we shall regard a conformal density of weight w, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, as a family $(u_{\hat{g}})_{\hat{g}\in[g]} \subset C^\infty(M)$ parametrized by the conformal class $[g]$ in such way that

$$u_{e^{2\Upsilon}g}(x) = e^{-w\Upsilon(x)}u_g(x) \qquad \forall \Upsilon \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{E}[w]$ the space of conformal densities of weight w.

The space $\mathcal{E}[w]$ can be realized as the space of smooth functions of a line bundle over M as follows (see also [PR]). Denote by $\mathrm{CO}(n)$ the conformal group of $\mathbb{R}^n$, that is, the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of positive scalar multiples of orthogonal matrices. The datum of the conformal class $[g]$ gives rise to a reduction of the structure group of M to the conformal group $\mathrm{CO}(n)$. Denote by $E[w]$ the line bundle over M associated to the representation $\rho_w : \mathrm{CO}(n) \to \mathbb{R}_*^+$ given by

$$\rho_w(A) = |\det A|^{\frac{w}{n}} \qquad \forall A \in \mathrm{CO}(n).$$

Any metric $\hat{g} = e^{2\Upsilon}g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M)$, in the conformal class $[g]$ defines a global trivialization $\tau_{\hat{g}} : E[w] \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ with transition map

$$\tau_{\hat{g}} \circ \tau_g^{-1}(x) = e^{w\Upsilon(x)} \qquad \forall x \in M.$$

This gives rise to a one-to-one correspondence between smooth sections of $E[w]$ and conformal densities. Namely, to any $u \in C^\infty(M, E[w])$ corresponds a unique conformal density $(u_{\hat{g}})_{\hat{g}\in[g]}$ in $\mathcal{E}[w]$ such that, for any metric $\hat{g} \in [g]$,

$$\tau_{\hat{g}} \circ u(x) = (x, u_{\hat{g}}(x)) \qquad \forall x \in M.$$

The property that the GJMS operator operator $P_{k,g}$ is conformally invariant of biweight $\left(\frac{n}{2} - k, \frac{n}{2} + k\right)$ exactly means it gives rise to a linear operator,

$$P_k : \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n}{2} + k\right] \to \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n}{2} - k\right],$$

such that, for all $u = (u_{\hat{g}})_{\hat{g}\in[g]}$ in $\mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n}{2} + k\right]$,

$$(P_k u)_{\hat{g}}(x) = (P_{k,\hat{g}}u_{\hat{g}})(x) \qquad \forall \hat{g} \in [g] \ \forall x \in M.$$

In particular, this enables us to regard the nullspace of $P_{k,g}$ as a space of conformal densities. Clearly the dimension of $\ker P_{k,g}$ is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$.

We observe that if $u = (u_{\hat{g}})_{\hat{g}\in[g]}$ is a conformal density of weight w, then the zero locus $u_{\hat{g}}^{-1}(0)$ is independent of the metric $\hat{g}$, and hence is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$. Applying this observation to the null-eigenvectors of P_k we then get

Proposition 3.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and further assume $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ if n is even.*

1. *If $\dim\ker P_{k,g} \geq 1$, then the nodal sets and nodal domains of any nonzero null-eigenvector of $P_{k,g}$ give rise to invariants of the conformal class $[g]$.*
2. *If $\dim\ker P_{k,g} \geq 2$, then (non-empty) intersections of nodal sets of null-eigenvectors of $P_{k,g}$ and their complements are invariants of the conformal class $[g]$.*

Remark 3.2. A connected component X of an intersection of p nodal sets should generically be a co-dimension p submanifold of M, and in the case it is, the corresponding homology class in $H_{n-p}(M)$ would be a conformal invariant.

Further interesting conformal invariants should arise from considering the topology of $M \setminus X$. For example, if $\dim M = 3$ and $\dim\ker P_k = 2$, and $u_1, u_2 \in \ker P_k$, then $\mathcal{N}(u_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(u_2)$ should define a "generalized link" in M, and all topological invariants of that set and its complement in M would be conformal invariants. Related invariants are considered in [Ch, CR].

When $k = \frac{n}{2}$ (n even) the nullspace of $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is contained in the space $\mathcal{E}[0]$ of conformal densities of weight 0 and it always contains constant functions (seen as conformal densities of weight zero, i.e., a constant family of constant functions).

Observe also that if $u = (u_{\hat{g}})_{\hat{g}\in[g]}$ is a conformal density of weight 0, then, in addition to the zero-locus, all the level sets $\{x \in M;\ u_g(x) = \lambda\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, are independent of the representative metric g. Therefore, for the critical GJMS operator we obtain

Proposition 3.3. *Assume n is even. If $\dim\ker P_{\frac{n}{2}} \geq 2$, then the level sets of any non-constant null-eigenvector of $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ are invariants of the conformal class $[g]$.*

Next, we mention the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume M compact and $k < \frac{n}{2}$. Let $u_g \in \ker P_{k,g}$ and let us regard it as a conformal density of weight $-\frac{n}{2} + k$. Then the integral $\int_M |u_g(x)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2k}} dv_g(x)$ is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{g} = e^{2\Upsilon} g$, $\upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, be a metric in the conformal class $[g]$. Then

$$\int_M |u_{\hat{g}}(x)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2k}} dv_{\hat{g}}(x) = \int_M \left| e^{\frac{2k-n}{2}\Upsilon(x)} u_g(x) \right|^{\frac{2n}{n-2k}} e^{n\Upsilon(x)} dv_g(x) = \int_M |u_g(x)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2k}} dv_g(x).$$

This proves the result. □

Remark 3.5. Although stated for GJMS operators, the results of this section actually hold for any conformally covariant operator that yields an endomorphism on some function space. They even hold for conformally invariant pseudodifferential operators, including the conformal fractional powers of the Laplacian $P_{s,g}$, $s > 0$, which extend the GJMS construction to non-integer orders (see [GZ, GQ]). More precisely, Proposition 3.1 holds *verbatim* for any such conformally invariant operator, and Proposition 3.3 (resp., Proposition 3.4) holds *verbatim* for any such conformally invariant operator of biweight (w, w') with $w = 0$ (resp., $w = \frac{n}{2} - k$ with $k \in \left(0, \frac{n}{2}\right)$).

4. Negative eigenvalues of GJMS operators

In this section, we look at the negative eigenvalues of GJMS operators. Throughout this section M^n is a compact manifold ($n \geq 0$) and we let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (and further assume $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ when n is even).

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of Riemannian metrics on M equipped with its standard Fréchet-space C^∞-topology (in fact for our purpose the C^{2k}-topology is enough). As mentioned in Section 2, given any metric g on M, the GJMS operator $P_{k,g}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product defined by g. Moreover, as it has same leading part as Δ_g^k it has a positive principal symbol. Therefore, it spectrum consists of a sequence of real eigenvalues converging to ∞. We thus can order the eigenvalues of $P_{k,g}$ as a non-decreasing sequence,

$$\lambda_1(P_{k,g}) \leq \lambda_2(P_{k,g}) \leq \cdots,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to multiplicity. Notice that by the min-max principle,

$$\lambda_j(P_{k,g}) = \inf_{\substack{E \subset C^\infty(M) \\ \dim E = j}} \sup_{\substack{u \in E \\ \|u\|=1}} \langle P_{k,g} u, u \rangle. \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.1 ([Ca, CP])**.** *For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $g \to \lambda_j(P_{k,g})$ is continuous from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.*

For any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we define

$$\nu_k(g) := \#\{j \in \mathbb{N};\ \lambda_j(P_{k,g}) < 0\}.$$

In addition $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{G}_{k,m} := \{g \in \mathcal{G};\ P_{k,m} \text{ has at least } m \text{ negative eigenvalues}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{G}_{k,m} = \{g \in \mathcal{G}; \nu_k(g) \geq m\} = \{g \in \mathcal{G};\ \lambda_m(P_{k,g}) < 0\}$, so it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\mathcal{G}_{k,m}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\nu_k(g)$ is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}$, and set $m = \nu_k(g)$ and $l = \dim \ker P_{k,g}$. Thus $\lambda_j(P_{k,g}) < 0$ for $j \leq m$, and $\lambda_j(P_{k,g}) = 0$ for $j = m+1, \cdots, m+l$, and $\lambda_j(P_{k,g}) > 0$ for $j \geq m+l+1$. Let δ be a positive real number $< \min\{|\lambda_m(P_{k,g})|, \lambda_{m+l+1}(P_{k,g})\}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1, if a metric $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class $[g]$ is close enough to g, then $\lambda_m(P_{k,\hat{g}}) < -\delta$ and $\lambda_{m+l+1}(P_{k,\hat{g}}) > \delta$. That is, the only $\lambda_j(P_{k,\hat{g}})$ that are contained in the interval $[-\delta, \delta]$ are $\lambda_{m+1}(P_{k,\hat{g}}), \cdots, \lambda_{m+l}(P_{k,\hat{g}})$.

As mentioned in Section 3, the dimension of $\ker P_{k,g}$ is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$, so $\dim \ker P_{k,\hat{g}} = l$, i.e., there are exactly l of the $\lambda_j(P_{k,\hat{g}})$ that are equal to 0. Since we know that the l eigenvalues $\lambda_{m+1}(P_{k\hat{g}}), \cdots, \lambda_{m+l}(P_{k\hat{g}})$ are the only eigenvalues that are contained in $[-\delta, \delta]$, it follows that $\lambda_{m+1}(P_{k,\hat{g}}) = \cdots = \lambda_{m+l}(P_{k,\hat{g}}) = 0$. As $\lambda_m(P_{k,\hat{g}}) < 0$, we then conclude that $\nu_k(\hat{g}) = m$.

All this shows that the map $g \to \nu_k(g)$ is locally constant when restricted to the conformal class $[g]$. As $[g]$ is a connected subset of $\mathcal{G}$ (since this is the range of $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ under $\Upsilon \to e^{2\Upsilon} g$), we deduce that $\nu_k(g)$ is actually constant along the conformal class $[g]$. This proves the proposition. □

It follows from Theorem 4.2 that the number of negative eigenvalues of each GJMS operator defines a partition of conformal classes.

A result of Kazdan-Warner [KW2, Theorem 3.2] asserts that the sign of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(P_{1,g})$ is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$. Notice that

(i) $\lambda_1(P_{k,g}) < 0$ if and only if $\nu_k(g) \geq 1$.
(ii) $\lambda_1(P_{k,g}) = 0$ if and only if $\dim \ker P_{k,g} \geq 1$.
(iii) $\lambda_1(P_{k,g}) > 0$ if and only if $\dim \ker P_{k,g} = \nu_k(g) = 0$.

Therefore, as a result of the conformal invariance of $\dim \ker P_{k,g}$ and $\nu_k(g)$, we obtain the following extension of Kazdan-Warner's result.

Theorem 4.3. *The sign of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(P_{k,g})$ is an invariant of the conformal class $[g]$.*

Remark 4.4. Let g_0 be a metric of constant scalar curvature in the scalar curvature. As the nullspace of the Laplacian consists of constant functions, $\lambda_1(P_{1,g_0}) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_0}$. Therefore, the sign of $\lambda_1(P_{1,g})$ agrees with that of the constant scalar curvature R_{g_0}. We also see that $\lambda_1(P_{k,g}) = 0$ if and only if $R_{g_0} = 0$. Furthermore, in that case $\ker P_{1,g_0}$ consists of constant functions and $\ker P_{1,g}$ is spanned by a single positive function.

Remark 4.5. Both Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 hold *verbatim* for any conformally invariant pseudodifferential operator acting on functions or even on sections of a vector bundle. In particular, they hold for the fractional conformal powers of the Laplacian on functions.

The question that naturally arises is whether $\nu_k(g)$ can be arbitrary large as g ranges over metrics on M. The following shows this is indeed the case when $k = 1$ (i.e., $P_{k,g}$ is the Yamabe operator).

Theorem 4.6. *Assume M connected. Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a metric g on M such that the Yamabe operator $P_{1,g}$ has at least m negative eigenvalues counted with multiplicity.*

Proof. By a result of Lohkamp [Lo2, Theorem 2], given $\lambda > 0$, there is a metric g on M such that

(i) The m first positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian Δ_{g_k} counted with multiplicity are equal to λ.
(ii) The volume of (M, g) is equal to 1.
(iii) The Ricci curvature of g is $\leq -m^2$.

The condition (iii) implies that $R_{g_m} \leq -nm^2$. Combining this with (ii) shows that, for all $u \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_{1,g}u, u\rangle &= \langle \Delta_g u, u\rangle + \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} \int_M R_g(x)|u(x)|v_g(x) \\ &\leq \langle \Delta_g u, u\rangle - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} nm^2 \|u\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Assume $\lambda < \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)}nm^2$ and denote by E the eigenspace of Δ_g associated to λ. Notice that E is a subspace of $C^\infty(M)$ and has dimension $k \geq m$. Moreover, if u a unit vector in E, then (4.2) shows that $\langle P_{1,g}u, u\rangle \leq \lambda - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)}nm^2 < 0$. Combining this with the min-max principle (4.1) we see that $\lambda_m(P_{1,g}) \leq \lambda_k(P_{1,g}) < 0$. Thus, $P_{1,g}$ has at least m negative eigenvalues counted with multiplicity. The proof is complete. □

More explicit construction of metrics with an arbitrary large number eigenvalues can be given in the case of a product with a hyperbolic surface.

Assume $n \geq 4$ and let (N^{n-2}, g_1) be a compact Riemannian manifold and (Σ, g_2) a hyperbolic surface of genus ≥ 2. Given $t > 0$ we equip the product $M := N \times \Sigma$ with the product metric $g_t := g_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t^{-1}g_2$.

Proposition 4.7. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose g_2 and t such that the Yamabe operator P_{1,g_t} has at least m negative eigenvalues.*

Proof. The scalar curvature of g_t is $R_{g_t} = R_g - 2t$, so the Yamabe operator on (M, g_t) is

$$P_{1,g_t} = \Delta_{g_1} \otimes 1 + t(1 \otimes \Delta_{g_2}) + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}(R_{g_1} - t),$$

where Δ_{g_1} (resp., Δ_{g_2}) is the Laplacian on N (resp., Σ).

Let λ be an eigenvalue of Δ_{g_2} and let u be an eigenfunction associated to λ. If we regard u as a function on M, then $P_{1,g_t}u = t\lambda u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}(R_{g_1} - t)u$. Set $\mu := \sup_{x \in N} R_{g_1}(x)$. Then

$$\langle P_{1,g_t}, u\rangle \leq \left(t\lambda + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}(\mu - 2t)\right)\langle u, u\rangle \leq t\left(\lambda - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} + t^{-1}\mu\right)\langle u, u\rangle. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} > \frac{1}{4}$ since $n \geq 4$. Therefore, we may choose t large enough so that $\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} - t^{-1}\mu > \frac{1}{4}$. Then by [Bu, Theorem 4] we can choose the metric g_2 so that Δ_{g_2} has at least m eigenvalues $\lambda < -\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} + t^{-1}\mu$. If u is an eigenfunction of u associated to such an eigenvalue, then (4.3) shows that $\langle Pu, u\rangle < 0$. Therefore, the quadratic form defined by P_{1,g_t} is negative definite on an m-dimensional subspace of $C^\infty(M)$. Applying the min-max principle (4.1) then shows that $\lambda_m(P_{1,g_t}) < 0$, i.e., P_{1,g_t} has at least m negative eigenvalues. The proof is complete. □

Next, we construct explicit examples of products of hyperbolic manifolds for which various higher order GJMS operators have an arbitrarily large number of negative eigenvalues upon varying the metric.

Let (N^{n-2}, g_1) be a hyperbolic manifold and (Σ^2, g_2) a hyperbolic surface of genus ≥ 2. We equip the product manifold $M := N \times \Sigma$ with the product metric $g := g_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g_2$. Notice that (M, g) is an Einstein manifold and $\mathrm{Ric} = -g$.

Theorem 4.8. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose the (hyperbolic) metric g_2 on Σ so that the GJMS operator $P_{k,g}$ has at least m negative eigenvalues for all odd integers $k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.*

If we further assume that $n = 4l$ or $n = 4l+1$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, then the same conclusion holds for all integers $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Remark 4.9. As (M, g) is Einstein, the GJMS operators P_k are defined for all integers $k > \frac{n}{2}$ even when n is even.

Proof of Theorem 4.8. As $\mathrm{Ric} = -g$, Eq. (2.5) gives

$$P_{k,g} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\Delta_g - \mu_j\right), \qquad \mu_j := \frac{1}{4(n-1)}(n+2j-2)(n-2j). \tag{4.4}$$

Notice also that the Laplacian on M is $\Delta_g = \Delta_{g_1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Delta_{g_2}$, where Δ_{g_1} (resp., Δ_{g_2}) is the Laplacian on N (resp., Σ).

Let λ be an eigenvalue of Δ_{g_2} and let u be an eigenfunction associated to λ. If we regard u as a function on M, then $\Delta_g u = \Delta_{g_2} u = \lambda u$. Combining this with (4.4) we then see that u is an eigenvector of $P_{k,g}$ with eigenvalue

$$\Lambda_k := \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\lambda - \mu_j\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Observe that $4(n-1)\mu_j = (n-1)^2 - (2j-1)^2$, so $\mu_j > \mu_{j+1}$ for $j \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, $\mu_{\frac{n}{2}} = 0$ and $\mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \frac{2n-3}{4(n-1)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4(n-1)} > \frac{1}{4}$. Incidentally, $\mu_j \geq 0$ when $j \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}} > \frac{1}{4}$ and Σ has genus ≥ 2, a result of Buser [Bu, Theorem 4] ensures us that we can find a hyperbolic metric g_2 such that the Laplacian Δ_{g_2} has at least m eigenvalues contained in $(0, \mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}})$. Let λ be such an eigenvalue and assume that k is an odd integer $\leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then $\lambda - \mu_j \leq \lambda - \mu_k \leq \lambda - \mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}} < 0$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$, and so the eigenvalue Λ_k in (4.5) is the product of k negative numbers. As k is odd, it follows that Λ_k is a negative eigenvalue of $P_{k,g}$. This enables us to produce m negative eigenvalues for this operator.

Assume further that $m = 4l$ or $m = 4l + 1$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that k is an integer $\geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then the integral part $k_0 = \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$ is an odd number and we can write

$$\Lambda_k = \Lambda_k' \Lambda_{k_0} \qquad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_k' := \prod_{k_0+1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\lambda - \mu_j\right).$$

Notice that $\Lambda_{k_0} < 0$ since k_0 is an odd integer $\leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Moreover, as $k_0 + 1 \geq \frac{n}{2}$ we see that $\mu_j \leq 0$ for all $j \geq k_0 + 1$, and hence Λ_k' is a positive number. It then follows that Λ_k is a negative eigenvalue of $P_{k,g}$, and so this operator has m negative eigenvalues for this operator. The proof is complete. □

Finally, we establish a version of Courant's Nodal Domain Theorem in conformal geometry.

Proposition 4.10. *Assume that the Yamabe operator $P_{1,g}$ has $m \geq 1$ negative eigenvalues. Then any null eigenfunction of $P_{1,g}$ has at most $m+1$ nodal domains.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 the nodal domains of $P_{1,g}$ are conformal invariants. Therefore, without any loss of generality we may assume that the scalar curvature R_g is constant. Then the eigenvalues of $P_{1,g}$ are obtained by adding $c = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}R_g$ to the eigenvalues of the Laplacian Δ_g and the corresponding eigenspaces agrees.

Let $u \in \ker P_{1,g}$. By assumption $P_{1,g}$ has m negative eigenvalues, so the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ is the j-th eigenvalue of $P_{1,g}$ for some $j \geq m$. It then follows that u is an eigenfunction of Δ_g for its j-th eigenvalue. Applying Courant's Nodal Domain Theorem ([CH]) then shows that u has at most $m+1$ nodal domains and completes the proof. ☐

5. Curvature prescription problems

In this section, we look at some constraints on curvature prescription. Further results are given in Appendix.

Throughout this section we let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold ($n \geq 3$). Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (further assuming $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ if n is even), the problem of conformally prescribing Q_k-curvature is that of determining which functions are the Q_k-curvature $Q_{k,\hat{g}}$ for some metric $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class $[g]$. In other words, we seek to characterize the range $\mathcal{R}(Q_k)$ of the map

$$Q_k : [g] \ni \hat{g} \longrightarrow Q_{k,\hat{g}} \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}). \tag{5.1}$$

Let us first make some elementary observations on $\int_M R_g u \, dv_g$ with $u \in \ker P_{1,g}$. As $Q_{1,g} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R_g$, using elementary spectral considerations and expression (A.4) in the Appendix, we obtain

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that the scalar curvature R_g is constant. Then*

$$\int_M R_g u \, dv_g = 0 \qquad \forall u \in \ker P_{1,g}.$$

In addition, if $\hat{g} = e^{2\Upsilon} g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, is a metric in the conformal class $[g]$, then

$$\int_M e^{\frac{2-n}{2}\Upsilon} R_{\hat{g}} u \, dv_{\hat{g}} = 0 \qquad \forall u \in \ker P_{1,g}.$$

Next, we consider the scalar curvature restricted to nodal domains.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $u \in \ker(P_{1,g})$ and let Ω be a nodal domain of u. Then, for all $v \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$\int_\Omega |u| \, P_{1,g}(v) \, dv_g = -\int_{\partial\Omega} v \, \|\nabla_g u\|_g \, d\sigma_g,$$

where σ_g is the surface-area measure of $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Observe that u has constant sign on Ω. In addition, let ν be the outward unit normal vector to the hypersurface $\partial\Omega$. Then $\partial_\nu u$ agrees with $-\|\nabla_g u\|_g$ (resp., $\|\nabla_g u\|_g$) on $\partial\Omega$ in case u is positive (resp., negative) on Ω. Therefore, upon replacing u by $-u$ if needed, we may assume that u is positive on Ω.

Let $v \in C^\infty(M)$. As $P_{1,g}u = 0$ and the Yamabe operator agrees with the Laplacian Δ_g up to a the multiplication by a function, we have

$$\int_\Omega |u| \, P_{1,g}(v) \, dv_g = \int_\Omega (uP_{1,g}v - vP_{1,g}u) \, dv_g = \int_\Omega (u\Delta_g v - v\Delta_g u) \, dv_g.$$

Notice that $u\Delta_g v - v\Delta_g u = u\,\mathrm{div}\,(\nabla v) - u\,\mathrm{div}\,(\nabla v) = \mathrm{div}\,(u\nabla v - v\nabla u)$. Therefore, using the divergence theorem we see that $\int_\Omega |u|\, P_{1,g}(v)\, dv_g$ is equal to

$$\int_\Omega \mathrm{div}\,(u\nabla v - v\nabla u)\ dv_g = -\int_{\partial\Omega} (u\,\partial_\nu v - v\,\partial_\nu u)\ d\sigma_g = -\int_{\partial\Omega} v\, \|\nabla_g u\|_g\, d\sigma_g,$$

where we have used the fact that $u = 0$ and $\partial_\nu u = -\|\nabla_g u\|_g$ on $\partial\Omega$. The proof is complete. ☐

Decomposing the manifold into a disjoint union of positive nodal domains, negative nodal domains and the nodal set of u, and applying Proposition 5.2 we obtain

Corollary 5.3. *For all $u \in \ker P_{1,g}$ and $v \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$\int_M |u|\, P_{1,g}(v)\, dv_g = -2\int_{\mathcal{N}(u)} v\, \|\nabla_g u\|_g\, d\sigma_g,$$

where $\mathcal{N}(u)$ is the nodal set of u.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ be the scalar curvature of some metric in the conformal class $[g]$. Then, there is a positive function $\omega \in C^\infty(M)$, such that, for any $u \in \ker(P_{1,g})$ and any nodal domain Ω of u,*

$$\int_\Omega f|u|\omega\, dv_g < 0.$$

Proof. By assumption $f = R_{\hat g}$ for some metric $\hat g = e^{2\Upsilon} g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. Thus $P_{1,\hat g}(1) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{\hat g} = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} f$. Let $u \in \ker(P_{1,g})$ and let Ω be nodal domain of u. In addition, set $\omega = e^{\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon}$ and $\hat u = e^{\frac{2-n}{2}\Upsilon} u$. Then

$$\int_\Omega f|u|\omega\, dv_g = \int_\Omega |\hat u|\, f\, dv_{\hat g} = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_\Omega |\hat u| P_{1,\hat g}(1)\, dv_{\hat g}. \tag{5.2}$$

As the kernel of the Yamabe operator consists of conformal densities of weight $\frac{n-2}{2}$, we see that $\hat u$ is contained in $P_{1,\hat g}$ and Ω is a nodal domain for $\hat u$. Therefore, applying Theorem 5.2 to $\hat u$ and $v = 1$ we get

$$\int_\Omega e^{\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon} |u|\, f\, dv_g = -\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{\partial\Omega} \|\nabla_{\hat g} u\|_{\hat g}\, d\sigma_{\hat g} < 0.$$

Combining this with (5.2) yields the result. ☐

Theorem 5.4 seems to be new. We remark that when $\dim \ker(P_{1,g}) > 1$ this gives infinitely many constraints on $R_{\hat g}$.

Remark 5.5. The inequality in Theorem 5.4 is strict. Indeed, by Corollary 5.3 the integral is clearly nonpositive, and the only way it can vanish is if $||\nabla_g u|| \equiv 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of the nodal domain Ω of u. So, $u = \nabla u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. It is easy to see that conformal changes of metric preserve those conditions for eigenfunctions in $\ker(P_{1,g})$, so without loss of generality we may assume that the scalar curvature is constant. But then u is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ_g, and by the maximum principle $u \equiv 0$ in Ω, which contradicts the unique continuation property for eigenfunctions of Δ_g.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $u \in \ker(P_{1,g})$ and let Ω be nodal domain of u. Then, for any metric $\hat g$ in the conformal class $[g]$, the scalar curvature $R_{\hat g}$ cannot be everywhere positive on Ω.*

Let $u \in \ker(P_{1,g})$ and let Ω be nodal domain of u. Given any metric $\hat{g} = e^{2\Upsilon}g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, in the conformal class $[g]$ we define

$$T(u, \Omega, \hat{g}) := -\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{\frac{2-n}{2}\Upsilon} \|\nabla_{\hat{g}} \hat{u}\|_{\hat{g}} \, d\sigma_{\hat{g}},$$

where we have set $\hat{u} = e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\Upsilon} u$.

Proposition 5.7. *For all metrics $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class $[g]$,*

$$T(u, \Omega, \hat{g}) = \int_\Omega |u| R_g \, dv_g.$$

Proof. Let $\hat{g} = e^{2\Upsilon}g$, $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, be a metric in the conformal class $[g]$. Set $\hat{u} = e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\Upsilon} u$ and $v = e^{\frac{2-n}{2}\Upsilon}$. As pointed out in the proof of Theorem 5.4, $\hat{u}$ lies in $\ker P_{1,\hat{g}}$ and Ω is a nodal domain. Applying Theorem 5.2 to $\hat{u}$ and v then gives

$$\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} T(u, \Omega, \hat{g}) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} v \|\nabla_{\hat{g}} \hat{u}\|_{\hat{g}} \, d\sigma_{\hat{g}} = \int_\Omega |\hat{u}| \, P_{1,\hat{g}} v \, dv_{\hat{g}}.$$

As $P_{1,\hat{g}} v = e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon} P\left(e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\Upsilon} \cdot e^{\frac{2-n}{2}\Upsilon}\right) = e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon} P_{1,g}(1) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon} R_g$, we get

$$T(u, \Omega, \hat{g}) = \int_\Omega |\hat{u}| e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon} R_g \, dv_{\hat{g}} = \int_\Omega e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\Upsilon} |u| e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\Upsilon} R_g \, e^{n\Upsilon} \, dv_g = \int_\Omega |u| R_g \, dv_g.$$

The result is proved. □

Proposition 5.7 provides us with some conserved quantities for the conformal class. In particular, if R_g is constant, then we obtain

$$T(u, \Omega, \hat{g}) = R_g ||u||_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Finally, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and further assume $k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ when n is even. We look at conformal classes containing a metric for which the Q_k-curvature is zero.

Proposition 5.8. *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The kernel of $P_{k,g}$ contains a nowhere vanishing eigenfunction.*
2. *There is a metric $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class $[g]$ such that $P_{k,\hat{g}}(1) = 0$.*
3. *There is a metric $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class $[g]$ such that $Q_{k,\hat{g}}$ is identically zero.*

Proof. As by definition $Q_{k,\hat{g}} = \frac{2}{n-2k} P_{k,\hat{g}}(1)$, the equivalence of (2) and (3) is immediate. Furthermore, the conformal invariance of $\ker P_{k,g}$ as a space of conformal densities of weight $k - \frac{n}{2} \neq 0$ implies that $\ker P_{k,g}$ contains a nowhere vanishing function if and only if there is a metric $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class $[g]$ such that $\ker P_{k,\hat{g}}(1) = 0$. This proves the equivalence of (1) and (3) and completes the proof. □

In conclusion, we would like to mention a recent paper of Fardoun-Regbaoui [FR]. In that paper the authors study the Q-curvature prescription problem on even-dimensional conformal classes for which the kernel of the critical GJMS operator is nontrivial kernel (i.e., it contains non-constant functions). Interestingly enough, sufficient conditions for convergence of the Q-curvature flow in [FR] involve nodal domains of eigenfunctions in the kernel of the critical GJMS operator.

6. The Yamabe and Paneitz Operators on Heisenberg Manifolds

In this section, we explicitly the eigenvalues and nodal sets of the Yamabe and Paneitz operators on Heisenberg manifold.

6.1. **The setup.** Let $\mathbb{H}_d$ be the $(2d+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group, i.e., the 2-nilpotent subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+2}(\mathbb{R})$ of unipotent matrices. Any $A \in \mathbb{H}_d$ is of the form,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & t \\ 0 & 1 & y^T \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We shall use coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots x_d)$, $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_d)$ and t as above to represent an element of $\mathbb{H}_d$.

Let $r = (r_1, \cdots, r_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be such that $r_j | r_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, d-1$ and consider the lattice subgroup,

$$\Gamma_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & t \\ 0 & 1 & y^T \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \; x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \; y \in r_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times r_d\mathbb{Z}, \; t \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

In addition, consider the quotient manifold,

$$M := \Gamma_r \backslash \mathbb{H}_d.$$

This is a compact manifold with fundamental group $\mathbb{H}_d$. Moreover, a fundamental domain for this quotient is

$$D = [0,1)^d \times [0, r_1) \times \cdots \times [0, r_d) \times [0, 1).$$

A frame of left-invariant vector fields on $\mathbb{H}_d$ is provided by the vectors,

$$X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \qquad Y_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

where j ranges over $1, \cdots, d$. The standard contact form on $\mathcal{H}_d$ is the left-invariant 1-form given by

$$\theta := dt - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} x_j dy_j.$$

Notice that the 1-forms dx_j and dy_j are left-invariant too.

Let $s > 0$. We endow $\mathbb{H}_d$ with the left-invariant metric,

$$g_s := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} dx_j \otimes dx_j + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} s^{-2} dy_j \otimes dy_j + s^{2d} \theta \otimes \theta. \tag{6.1}$$

This is the type of left-invariant Riemannian metrics considered in [GW]. Notice that the volume of M with respect to g_s is independent of s and is equal to

$$|\Gamma_r| := r_1 \cdots r_d.$$

Notice that $\{X_j, sY_j, s^{-d}T\}$ is an orthonormal frame for this metric. Moreover, $\det g_s = 1$. Therefore, the Laplace operator Δ_{g_s} on $\mathbb{H}_d$ is given by

$$\Delta_{g_s} = - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} (X_j^2 + s^2 Y_j^2) - s^{-2d} T^2. \tag{6.2}$$

In addition, it follows from the results of [Je] that the Ricci tensor of g_s (seen as a $(0,2)$-tensor) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}_{g_s} &= -\frac{1}{2}s^{2d+2}\sum_{1\leq j\leq d}(dx_j\otimes dx_j + s^{-2}dy_j\otimes dy_j) + \frac{d}{2}s^{4d+2}\theta\otimes\theta \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}s^{2d+2}g + \frac{(d+1)}{2}s^{4d+2}\theta\otimes\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

We then get the following formula for the scalar curvature,

$$R_{g_s} = -\frac{d}{2}s^{2d+2}. \tag{6.4}$$

6.2. **Spectral resolution of the Yamabe operator.** As all the objects above are left-invariant, they descend to M. In particular, the Laplacian Δ_{g_s} on M is given by (6.2), where the vector fields X_j, Y_j and T are meant as vector fields on M. Therefore, combining this with the above formula for the scalar curvature we obtain

Proposition 6.1. *The Yamabe operator of (M, g_s) is given by*

$$P_{1,g_s} = -\sum_{1\leq j\leq d}(X_j^2 + s^2Y_j^2) - s^{-2d}T^2 - \frac{2d-1}{16}s^{2d+2}.$$

The spectral resolution of Δ_{g_s} on M is intimately related to the representation theory of $\mathbb{H}_d$. Indeed, the right-action of $\mathbb{H}_d$ on itself descends to a right-action on M, and hence the right-regular representation descends to the unitary representation

$$\rho : \mathbb{H}_d \longrightarrow L^2(M).$$

This representation can be decomposed into irreducible representations as follows.

Recall that the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{H}_d$ are of two types:

(i) The characters $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)} : \mathbb{H}_d \to \mathbb{C}$, $(\xi,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$, given by

$$\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}(x,y,t) = e^{2i\pi(\xi\cdot x+\eta\cdot y)}. \tag{6.5}$$

(ii) The infinite dimensional representations $\pi_h : \mathbb{H}_d \to \mathcal{L}\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\right)$, $h\in\mathbb{R}^*$, defined by

$$\left[\pi_h(x,y,t)f\right](\xi) := e^{2i\pi h(t+y\cdot\xi)}f(\xi+x) \qquad \forall f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{6.6}$$

We observe that for the characters $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ we have

$$X_j\chi_{(\xi,\eta)} = 2i\pi\xi_j\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}, \qquad Y_j\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}(Y_j) = 2i\pi\eta_j\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}, \qquad T\chi_{(\xi,\eta)} = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

For the representations π_h, we have

$$d\pi_h(X_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}, \qquad d\pi_h(Y_j) = 2i\pi h\xi_j, \qquad d\pi_h(T) = 2i\pi h. \tag{6.8}$$

For $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_n := \left\{f\in L^2(M);\ f(x,y,t) = e^{2in\pi t}g(x,y)\right\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the space of functions that do not depend on the t-variable.

Define

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d\times\left((r_1\mathbb{Z})\times\cdots\times(r_d\mathbb{Z})\right),$$
$$\Lambda' = \{(\mu,\nu)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d;\ \mu\cdot x+\nu\cdot y\in\mathbb{Z} \quad \forall(x,y)\in\Lambda\}.$$

Notice that Λ is the lattice of $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ given by the image of Γ under the projection $(x, y, t) \to (x, y)$. The set Λ' is its dual lattice.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. We set

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \left\{ a = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d;\ a_j \in \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{|n|}, \cdots, \frac{|n|-1}{|n|} \right\} \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ b = (b_1, \cdots, b_d); b_j \in \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{r_j}, \cdots, \frac{r_j - 1}{r_j} \right\} \right\}.$$

For $a \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we define the operator $W_n^{a,b} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(M)$ by

$$W_n^{a,b} f(x, y, t) = e^{2i\pi nt} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_d} f(x + k + a + b) e^{2i\pi n(k+a+b)\cdot y}.$$

This is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathcal{H}_n$ (see [Fo]). We then let

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{a,b} := W_n^{a,b}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proposition 6.2 (Brezin [Brez]). *We have the following orthogonal decompositions,*

$$L^2(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu) \in \Lambda'} \mathbb{C}\chi_{(\mu,\nu)}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_n = \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ b \in \mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{H}_n^{a,b}, \quad n \neq 0.$$

Moreover, each operator $W_n^{a,b}$ is an intertwining operator from π_n to the regular representation ρ. In particular, the multiplicity of π_n in ρ is equal to $|n|^d |r_1 \cdots r_d = |n|^d |\Gamma_r|$.

Thanks to this result the spectral analysis of Δ_{g_s} on $L^2(M)$ reduces to the spectral analysis on each of the irreducible subspaces $\mathbb{C}\chi_{(\mu,\nu)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_n^{a,b}$.

Let $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda'$. Then from (6.2) and (6.7) we see that

$$\Delta_{g_s} \chi_{(\xi,\eta)} = 4\pi^2(|\xi|^2 + s^2|\eta|^2)\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}.$$

That is, $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_{g_s} w.r.t. the eigenvalue $\lambda = 4\pi^2(|\xi|^2 + s^2|\eta|^2)$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Using (6.2) and (6.8) we get

$$d\pi_n(\Delta_{g_s}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left(-\partial_{\xi_j}^2 + 4n^2 s^2 \pi^2 \xi_j^2 \right) + 4n^2 s^{-2d} \pi^2.$$

Under the change of variable $\eta_j = \sqrt{2\pi|n|s}\, \xi_j$ this becomes

$$2\pi|n|s \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left(-\partial_{\eta_j}^2 + \eta_j^2 \right) + 4n^2 s^{-2d} \pi^2.$$

Recall that on $\mathbb{R}$ that an orthogonal eigenbasis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for the harmonic oscillator $-\frac{d^2}{dv^2} + v^2$ is given by the Hermite functions, $h_k(v)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that

$$h_k(v) := (-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dv^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2}, \qquad \left(-\frac{d^2}{dv^2} + v^2 \right) h_k(v) = (1 + 2k) h_k(v).$$

Notice that $e^{\frac{1}{2}v^2} h_k(v)$ is a polynomial of degree k.

From all this we deduce that an orthogonal basis of eigenfunctions of $d\pi_n(\Delta_{g_s})$ is given by the functions,

$$f_\alpha(\xi) := \prod_{1\leq j\leq d} h_{\alpha_j}(\sqrt{2\pi|n|s}\,\xi_j), \qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

in such way that

$$d\pi_n(\Delta_{g_s})f_\alpha = \left(2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2\right)f_\alpha.$$

Notice that each eigenvalue $2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2$ occurs with multiplicity $\binom{|\alpha|+d-1}{d-1}$.

As $W_n^{a,b}$ intertwines from π_n to the regular representation ρ, we see that an orthogonal eigenbasis of $\mathcal{H}_n^{a,b}$ for Δ_{g_s} is given by the functions,

$$\begin{aligned} W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha(x,y,t) &= e^{2in\pi t}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f_\alpha(x+k+a+b)e^{2in\pi(k+a+b)\cdot y} \\ &= e^{2in\pi t}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\prod_{1\leq j\leq d} h_{\alpha_j}\left(\sqrt{2\pi|n|s}\,(x_j+k_j+a_j+b_j)\right)e^{2in\pi(k_j+a+b)y_j} \qquad (6.9)\\ &= e^{2in\pi t}\prod_{1\leq j\leq d}\left\{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} h_{\alpha_j}\left(\sqrt{2\pi|n|s}\,(x_j+k_j+a_j+b_j)\right)e^{2in\pi(k+a+b)y_j}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ is an eigenfunction for the eigenvalue $2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2$. This eigenvalue has multiplicity $|n|^d r_1\cdots r_d\binom{|\alpha|+d-1}{d-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$.

As it turns out, for $\alpha=0$ the function $W_n^{a,b}f_0$ can be expressed in terms of Jacobi's theta function,

$$\vartheta(z,\tau) := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi k^2\tau}e^{2i\pi kz}, \qquad z,\tau\in\mathbb{C},\ \Im\tau>0.$$

If $\alpha=0$, then $h_{\alpha_j}(v)=h_0(v)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2}$ for $j=1,\cdots,d$. Moreover, for $u>0$ and $v,c\in\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} h_0\left(\sqrt{2\pi|n|s}\,(u+k)\right)e^{2in\pi(k+c)v} &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi|n|s(u+k)^2}e^{2in\pi(k+c)v} \\ &= e^{2in\pi cv}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi|n|s(u^2+2ku+u^2)}e^{2in\pi kv} \\ &= e^{-\pi|n|su^2}e^{2in\pi cv}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi|n|su^2}e^{2in\pi k(v\pm isu)} \\ &= e^{-\pi|n|su^2}e^{2in\pi cv}\vartheta(v\pm isu, i|n|s), \end{aligned}$$

where ± is the sign of n. Applying this equality for $u=x_j+a_j+b_j$, $v=y_j$ and $c=a_j+b_j$ and using (6.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &W_n^{a,b}f_0(x,y,t) = \\ &\quad= e^{2in\pi t}\prod_{1\leq j\leq d}\left\{e^{-\pi|n|s(x_j+a_j+b_j)^2}e^{2in\pi(a_j+b_j)v}\vartheta\left(y_j\pm is(x_j+a_j+b_j), i|n|s\right)\right\} \\ &\quad= e^{2in\pi t}e^{-\pi|n|s\,|x+a+b|^2}e^{2in\pi(a+b)\cdot v}\prod_{1\leq j\leq d}\vartheta\left(y_j\pm is(x_j+a_j+b_j), i|n|s\right). \qquad (6.10) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous discussion with Proposition 6.1 we obtain

Proposition 6.3 (Compare [GW, Theorem 3.3])**.** *Assume $M=\Gamma_r\backslash\mathbb{H}_d$ is equipped with the metric g_s given by (6.1).*

(1) *An orthogonal eigenbasis of $L^2(M)$ for the Yamabe operator P_{1,g_s} is given by the join,*

$$\left\{\chi_{(\xi,\eta)};\ (\xi,\eta)\in\Lambda'\right\}\bigcup\left\{W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha;\ (n,a,b,\alpha)\in\mathbb{Z}^*\times\mathcal{A}_n\times\mathcal{B}\times\mathbb{N}_0^d\right\}. \tag{6.11}$$

The characters $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ are given by (6.5). The functions $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ are given by (6.9), which reduces to (6.10) when $\alpha=0$.

(2) *Each character $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is an eigenfunction of P_{1,g_s} with eigenvalue*

$$\lambda(\xi,\eta):=4\pi^2\left(|\xi|^2+s^2|\eta|^2\right)-\frac{2d-1}{16}s^{2d+2}.$$

(3) *Each function $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ is an eigenfunction of P_{1,g_s} with eigenvalue*

$$\mu(n,|\alpha|):=2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2-\frac{2d-1}{16}s^{2d+2}.$$

This eigenvalue has multiplicity $|n|^d|\Gamma_r|\binom{|\alpha|+d-1}{d-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Remark 6.4 (See also [GW, Theorem 3.3]). The above considerations also provides us with a spectral resolution of the Laplacian Δ_{g_s}. More precisely, we see that

(i) Each $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_{g_s} with eigenvalue

$$\lambda_0(\xi,\eta):=4\pi^2\left(|\xi|^2+s^2|\eta|^2\right). \tag{6.12}$$

(ii) Each function $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ is an eigenfunction of P_{1,g_s} with eigenvalue

$$\mu_0(n,|\alpha|):=2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2. \tag{6.13}$$

6.3. **Nodal sets and negative eigenvalues of P_{1,g_s}.**

We observe that an eigenfunction $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ lies in the nullspace of P_{1,g_s} if and only if

$$2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2-\frac{2d-1}{16}s^{2d+2}=0. \tag{6.14}$$

If we multiply both sides of the equation by $-s^{2d}$ and set $v=s^{2d+1}$, then this equation becomes the quadratic equation $\frac{2d-1}{16}v^2-2\pi|n|(d+2|\alpha|)v-4n^2\pi^2=0$, whose unique positive root is $v=\frac{8\pi|n|}{2d-1}\left(2(d+2|\alpha|)+\sqrt{4(d+2|\alpha|)^2+2d-1}\right)$. Therefore, $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ lies in the nullspace of P_{1,g_s} if and only if

$$s^{2d+1}=\frac{8\pi|n|}{2d-1}\left(2(d+2|\alpha|)+\sqrt{4(d+2|\alpha|)^2+2d-1}\right). \tag{6.15}$$

Let us give a lower bound for $\nu_1(g_s)$, i.e., the number of negative eigenvalues of P_{1,g_s}. An eigenvalue $\mu(n,|\alpha|)=2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|)+4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2-\frac{2d-1}{16}s^{2d+2}$ is negative if and only if

$$s^{2d+1}>\frac{8\pi|n|}{2d-1}\left(2(d+2|\alpha|)+\sqrt{4(d+2|\alpha|)^2+2d-1}\right).$$

In particular, for every integer n such that $\frac{8\pi|n|}{2d-1}\left(2d+\sqrt{4d^2+2d-1}\right)<s^{2d+1}$, the eigenvalue $\mu(n,0)$ is negative. Moreover, such an eigenvalue occurs with multiplicity $|n|^d|\Gamma_r|$. Therefore, we obtain

Proposition 6.5. *There is a constant* $c_d > 0$ *depending on* d, *but not on the sequence* $r = (r_1, \cdots, r_d)$, *such that*

$$\nu_1(g_s) \geq c_d |\Gamma_r| s^{2d+2} \qquad \forall s > 0.$$

In particular, for every integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *the Yamabe operator* P_{1,g_s} *has at least* m *negative eigenvalues as soon as* s *is large enough.*

Suppose now that $s^{2d+1} = \frac{8\pi}{2d-1}\left(2d + \sqrt{4d^2+2d-1}\right)$, i.e., (6.15) holds for $n = \pm 1$ and $\alpha = 0$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1}$ contains only 0, so $\ker P_{1,g_s} \cup \mathcal{H}_0^{\perp}$ is spanned by the functions $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$ given by (6.10) where b ranges over $\mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, the transcendence of π implies that if $s^{2d+1} = \frac{8\pi}{2d-1}\left(2d + \sqrt{4d^2+2d-1}\right)$, then no element $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda'$ satisfies $\lambda(\xi,\eta) = |\xi|^2 + s^2|\eta|^2 - \frac{2d-1}{16} s^{2d+2} = 0$, i.e., no character $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is contained in $\ker P_{1,g_s}$. Thus the functions $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, form an orthogonal eigenbasis of $\ker P_{1,g_s}$.

Let us now look at the nodal sets of the eigenfunctions $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$. It follows from (6.10) that $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0(x,y,t) = 0$ if and only if

$$\vartheta\left(y_j \pm is(x_j + b_j), is\right) = 0 \qquad \text{for some } j \in \{1, \cdots, d\}. \tag{6.16}$$

Moreover, by Jacobi's triple product formula,

$$\vartheta(z, is) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-2m\pi s})(1 + e^{2i\pi z} e^{-(2m-1)\pi s})(1 + e^{-2i\pi z} e^{-(2m-1)\pi s}).$$

Thus, for $z = v + isu$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $\vartheta(z, is) = 0$ if and only if there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e^{-(2m-1)\pi s} = -e^{2i\pi z} = e^{-2\pi s u + i\pi(2v+1)}$, that is, u and v are contained in $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Applying this to $u = x_j + b_j$ and $v = \pm y_j$ with $(x_j, y_j) \in [0,1) \times [0, r_j)$ and $b_j \in \{0, r_j^{-1}, \cdots, 1 - r_l^{-1}\}$ it not hard to deduce that

$$\vartheta\left(y_j \pm is(x_j + b_j), is\right) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_j = \pm(b_j - \frac{1}{2}) - \left[\pm(b_j - \frac{1}{2})\right], \\ y_j \in \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots, r_j - \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \end{cases}$$

where $[\cdot]$ is the floor function. (Here $x_j = \pm(b_j - \frac{1}{2}) - \left[\pm(b_j - \frac{1}{2})\right]$ is the only element of $[0,1)$ such that $\pm(x_j + b_j)$ is a half-integer.) Combining with (6.16) enables us to get the nodal set of $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$.

Summarizing the previous discussion, we have proved

Proposition 6.6. *Let* s *be the* $(2d+1)$*-th root of* $\frac{8\pi}{2d-1}\left(2d + \sqrt{4d^2+2d-1}\right)$.

1. *The functions* $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, *form an orthogonal basis of* $\ker P_{1,g_s}$.
2. *The nodal set of* $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$ *is given by the join,*

$$\bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq d \\ 1 \leq l \leq r_j}} \left\{ (x,y,t) \in M; x_j = \pm(b_j - \frac{1}{2}) - \left[\pm(b_j - \frac{1}{2})\right], \ y_j = l - \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Remark 6.7. Notice that the nodal sets of the eigenfunctions $W_{\pm 1}^{0,b} f_0$ are submanifolds of codimension 2 in M.

Null eigenvectors and negative eigenvalues can also occur from the characters $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$. To simplify the discussion we assume that $d = 1$ and $r_1 = 1$.

The eigenfunction $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is in the kernel of P_{1,g_s} if and only if $\lambda(\xi,\eta)=0$ which, according to Proposition 6.3, is equivalent to

$$4\pi^2(|\xi|^2+s^2|\eta|^2)=\frac{2d-1}{16}s^{2d+2} \tag{6.17}$$

Although $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ takes values in $\mathbb{S}^1$, we observe that $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is a null eigenvector if and only if so is $\chi_{(-\xi,-\eta)}=\overline{\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}}$. Therefore, nodal sets to consider are $\mathcal{N}(\Re\chi_{\xi,\eta})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Im\chi_{\xi,\eta})$. Notice they are of the form $\mathcal{N}(x,y)\times[0,1]$ where the last factor corresponds to the t coordinate.

As $r_1=1$ we see that ξ and η are in $\mathbb{Z}$ in (6.17). Accordingly, the values of s for which a function $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ lies in the kernel of P_{1,g_s} are given by

$$s^2\in\left\{32\pi^2(\eta^2+\sqrt{\eta^4+\xi^4/(16\pi^2)}):\xi,\eta\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}. \tag{6.18}$$

Here the dimension of the kernel is equal to the number of the pairs (ξ,η) for which equation (6.17) has solutions for a given $s\in\mathbb{R}$. An elementary calculation shows that (ξ_1,η_1,s) and (ξ_2,η_2,s) can both be solutions of (6.17) if and only if $\xi_1=\pm\xi_2,\eta_1=\pm\eta_2$ (otherwise π would be a root of a nontrivial algebraic equation). It follows that for solutions of (6.17) and (6.18) the values of ξ^2 and η^2 are fixed.

Accordingly, the dimension of the kernel of P_{1,g_s} is equal to either two (when $(\xi,\eta)=(0,\pm b)$ or $(\xi,\eta)=(\pm a,0)$) or four (when $(\xi,\eta)=(\pm a,\pm b), a\neq 0, b\neq 0$). It is now easy to describe some of the corresponding nodal sets.

In case $\xi=0,\eta=\pm a, s=4a, a\in\mathbb{N}$ the eigenfunction is of the form $\sin 2\pi(ay+\theta)$. Accordingly, up to translation in y, the nodal set is

$$[0,1]\times\{k/(2a):0\leq k\leq 2a\}\times[0,1]. \tag{6.19}$$

In case $\eta=0,\xi=\pm a^2, s=2a, a\in\mathbb{N}$, the eigenfunction is of the form $\sin 2\pi(a^2x+\theta)$. Accordingly, up to translation in x, the nodal set is

$$\{k/(2a^2):0\leq k\leq 2a^2\}\times[0,1]\times[0,1]. \tag{6.20}$$

Finally, in case $\xi=\pm a,\eta=\pm b, s^2=8(\eta^2+\sqrt{\eta^4+\xi^4/4}); a\neq 0, b\neq 0$, there exist "product" eigenfunctions of the form $\sin 2\pi(ax+\theta_1)\cdot\sin 2\pi(by+\theta_2)$ with nodal sets is a union of sets of the form (6.20) and (6.19). In addition, there exist eigenfunctions of the form $\sin 2\pi(ax+by+\theta)$, whose nodal sets (up to translation) have the form

$$\{(x,y)\in[0,1]^2:2(ax+by)\in\mathbb{Z}\}\times[0,1].$$

6.4. **The Paneitz operator.** Let us now look at the Paneitz operator (2.3). We have

$$P_{2,g_s}:=\Delta_{g_s}^2+\delta Vd+\frac{2d-3}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{4d}\Delta_{g_s}R_{g_s}+\frac{2d+1}{8(2d)^2}R_g^2-2|S|^2\right\}, \tag{6.21}$$

where $S=\frac{1}{2d-1}(\mathrm{Ric}_{g_s}-\frac{R_{g_s}}{2(2d)}g_s)$ is the Schouten-Weyl tensor and V is the tensor $V=\frac{2d-1}{2(2d)}R_{g_s}g_s-4S$ acting on 1-forms.

Using (6.3)–(6.4), we see that the Schouten-Weyl tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned}S&=-\frac{3}{8(2d-1)}s^{2d+2}g_s+\frac{d+1}{2(2d-1)}s^{4d+2}\theta\otimes\theta\\&=-\frac{3}{8(2d-1)}s^{2d+2}\sum_{1\leq j\leq d}\left(dx_j\otimes dx_j+s^{-2}dy_j\otimes dy_j\right)+\frac{4d+1}{8(2d-1)}s^{2d+2}\cdot s^{2d}\theta\otimes\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Observing that $\{dx_j \otimes dx_j, s^{-2}dy_j \otimes dy_j, s^{2d}\theta \otimes \theta\}$ is an orthonormal family of $(0,2)$-tensors we find that

$$|S|^2 = \frac{16d^2+18d+1}{64(2d-1)^2}s^{4d+4}.$$

We then deduce that the constant coefficient of P_2 is equal to

$$(2d-3)\frac{(2d+1)(2d-1)^2-4(16d^2+18d+1)}{256(2d-1)^2}s^{4d+4}.$$

The tensor V is given by

$$V = \frac{12-(2d-1)^2}{8(2d-1)}s^{2d+2}g_s - \frac{2(d+1)}{2d-1}s^{4d+2}\theta \otimes \theta.$$

We need to look at V as acting on 1-forms. The action of g_s on 1-form is just the identity. The action of $s^{2d}\theta \otimes \theta$ is the orthogonal projection onto the span of θ. Thus,

$$\delta V d = \frac{12-(2d-1)^2}{8(2d-1)}s^{2d+2}\Delta_{g_s} + 2\frac{d+1}{2d-1}s^2T^2.$$

Combining all this together we get

Proposition 6.8. *The Paneitz operator on M for the metric g_s is given by*

$$P_{2,g_s} = \Delta_{g_s}^2 - c_1(d)s^{2d+2}\Delta_{g_s} + 2\frac{d+1}{2d-1}s^2T^2 + c_0(d)s^{4d+4},$$

where we have set

$$c_0(d) := (2d-3)\frac{(2d+1)(2d-1)^2-4(16d^2+18d+1)}{256(2d-1)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(d) := \frac{(2d-1)^2-12}{8(2d-1)}.$$

Observe that $T\chi_{(\xi,\eta)} = 0$ and $T^2W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha = -2n^2\pi^2$. Therefore, we can use the spectral resolution of Laplacian Δ_{g_s} given by Remark 6.4 and to get a spectral resolution of P_{2,g_s}.

Proposition 6.9. *Assume $M = \Gamma_r \backslash \mathbb{H}_d$ is equipped with the metric g_s given by (6.1).*

1. *The family (6.11) for an orthogonal eigenbasis of $L^2(M)$ for P_{2,g_s}.*
2. *Each character $\chi_{(\xi,\eta)}$ is an eigenfunction of P_{2,g_s} with eigenvalue*
$$\lambda_0(\xi,\eta)^2 - c_1(d)s^{2d+2}\lambda_0(\xi,\eta) + c_0(d)s^{4d+4},$$
where $\lambda_0(\xi,\eta)$ is given by (6.12).
3. *Each function $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ is an eigenfunction of P_{2,g_s} with eigenvalue*
$$\mu_0(n,|\alpha|)^2 - c_1(d)s^{2d+2}\mu_0(n,|\alpha|) + c_0(d)s^{4d+4} - 4\frac{d+1}{2d-1}n^2\pi^2s^2,$$
where $\mu_0(\xi,\eta)$ is given by (6.12). This eigenvalue has multiplicity $|n|^d|\Gamma_r|\binom{|\alpha|+d-1}{d-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Set $F_n(\mu;s) := \mu^2 - c_1(d)s^{2d+2}\mu + c_0(d)s^{4d+4} - 4\frac{d+1}{2d-1}n^2\pi^2s^2$. Then Proposition 6.9 states that $W_n^{a,b}f_\alpha$ is an eigenfunction of P_{2,g_s} with eigenvalue $F_n(\mu_0(n,|\alpha|);s)$. Moreover, $F_n(\mu;s)$ is a quadratic polynomial in μ with discriminant

$$\delta_n(d,s) := \delta_0(d)s^{4d+4} + 16\frac{d+1}{2d-1}n^2\pi^2s^2, \qquad \delta_0(d) = c_1(d)^2 - 4c_0(d).$$

A computation shows that $\delta_0(d) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{4d^2-7}{2d-1}$, which is positive for $d \geq 2$.

Assume $d \geq 2$. Then $\delta_n(d,s) \geq \delta_0(d)s^{4d+4} > 0$, and so $F_n\left(\mu_0(n,|\alpha|);s\right)$ is a negative eigenvalue of P_{2,g_s} if and only if

$$\mu_0(n,|\alpha|) < \frac{1}{2}\left(c_1(d)s^{2d+2} + \sqrt{\delta_n(d,s)}\right).$$

As $\delta_n(d,s) \geq \delta_0(d)s^{4d+4}$, we see that $\mu_0(n,|\alpha|)$ satisfies the above condition if $\mu_0(n,|\alpha|) < \frac{1}{2}\left(c_1(d) + \sqrt{\delta_0(d)s^{4d+4}}\right)$. That is,

$$2\pi|n|s(d+2|\alpha|) + 4n^2s^{-2d}\pi^2 < \frac{1}{2}\left(c_1(d) + \sqrt{\delta_0(d)}\right)s^{2d+2}.$$

This is the same type of condition than that incurring from (6.14) for $W_n f_\alpha^{a,b}$ to produce a negative eigenvalue of the Yamabe operator P_{1,g_s}. Therefore, by using the same kind of arguments as that used to derive of Proposition 6.5, we obtain

Proposition 6.10. *Assume $d \geq 2$. Then there is a constant $c_d > 0$ depending on d, but not on the sequence $r = (r_1, \cdots, r_d)$, such that*

$$\nu_2(g_s) \geq c_d|\Gamma_r|s^{2d+2} \qquad \forall s > 0.$$

In particular, for every integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the Paneitz operator P_{2,g_s} has at least m negative eigenvalues as soon as s is large enough.

7. Open problems

7.1. **Discriminant hypersurfaces in the space of conformal structures.** Let M be a compact orientable Riemannian manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the space of all Riemannian metrics on M. Then one can consider the action on $\mathcal{M}$ of the group $\mathcal{P}$ of (pointwise) conformal transformations (multiplication by positive functions), as well as of the group $\mathcal{D}$ of diffeomorphisms; we shall denote by $\mathcal{D}_0$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}$ of diffeomorphisms isotopic to identity.

The objects considered in the paper are invariant under the action of $\mathcal{P}$, and equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{D}$. Accordingly, it seems natural to consider our invariants as functions on the *Teichmüller space of conformal structures*

$$\mathcal{T}_M = \frac{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

or on *Riemannian moduli space of conformal structures*

$$\mathcal{R}_M = \frac{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{D}},$$

in the terminology of Fischer and Monkrief, [FM1, FM2]. If M is an orientable two-dimensional manifold, then $\mathcal{T}_M$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_M$) is the usual Teichmüller (resp. moduli) spaces. In [FM2], the space $\mathcal{T}_M$ for Haken 3-manifolds M of degree 0 is proposed as a configuration space for a Hamiltonian reduction of Einstein's vacuum field equations.

7.2. **Hypersurfaces in $\mathcal{T}_M$, $\mathcal{R}_M$ and rigidity of the nodal set.** Consider a *non-critical* GJMS operator P_k. Then its nullspace $\ker P_k$ is empty for a generic conformal class. Consider *discriminant hypersurface* $\mathcal{H}_k$ (in $\mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{R}$), consisting of conformal classes with nontrivial nullspace $\ker P_k \neq 0$.

Conjecture A. *The dimension of* $\ker P_k$ *is equal to* 1 *for generic conformal classes in* $\mathcal{H}_k$.

In that case, the nodal set and the nodal domains are well-defined. The following inverse (rigidity) problem seems natural:

Problem B. *Let g be a metric such that* $\dim\ker P_{k,g} = 1$*. Does the nodal set* $\mathcal{N}(\phi), \phi \in \ker P_{k,g}$*, determine the corresponding conformal class* $[g] \in \mathcal{H}_k$ *uniquely (up to diffeomorphisms)? In other words, do our invariants separate points in* $\mathcal{H}_k$*?*

The following weaker (deformation rigidity) version of the previous problem also seems interesting:

Problem C. *Let g be a metric such that* $\dim\ker P_{k,g} = 1$*. Does the nodal set* $\mathcal{N}(\phi), \phi \in \ker P_{k,g}$*, determine* locally *the conformal class* $[g]$*? In other words, can we deform a conformal class without changing* $\mathcal{N}(\phi)$*?*

We remark that it seems quite natural to consider Problems B and C on the spaces $\mathcal{T}_M$ and $\mathcal{R}_M$, since the action of $\mathcal{P}$ preserves the nodal sets, and their definition is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{D}$. The first natural step in this direction seems to be

Problem D. *Let g be a metric such that* $\dim\ker P_{k,g} \geq 1$*. Determine the tangent space* $T_g\mathcal{H} \subset T_g\mathcal{M}$*.*

7.3. **Dimension of the kernel of the critical GJMS operator.** For the critical GJMS operator $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ on a n-dimensional manifold with n even, the constant function will always be in $\ker P_{\frac{n}{2}}$. We define the discriminant hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{n}{2}}$ in that case to consist of conformal classes where $\dim\ker P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is at least 2. It seems reasonable to

Conjecture E. *The dimension of* $\ker P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ *is equal to* 2 *for generic conformal classes in* $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{n}{2}}$*.*

It seems interesting to study the geometry and topology of the various $\mathcal{H}_k$ and their complements in the space of all conformal classes of Riemannian metrics on M.

7.4. **Negative eigenvalues and topology of spaces of metrics.** Recall that it was shown in Proposition 4.6 that on any compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, for any $m > 0$ there exist metrics g for which the Yamabe operator $P_{1,g}$ has at least m negative eigenvalues. We have also constructed examples of Riemannian manifolds for which there are analogous results for some higher order GJMS operators (cf. Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 6.10).

Below we formulate the corresponding problem for conformally covariant operators $P_{k,g}$, $k > 1$.

Problem F. *Let $k > 1$, and let M be a compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Can we find for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ a metric g_m on M such that P_{k,g_m} has at least m negative eigenvalues?*

We remark that if the number of negative eigenvalues of $P_{k,g}$, $k > 1$, is uniformly from bounded above for every metric g on M, then the smallest such bound would be a *topological* invariant of M.

On Yamabe-negative manifolds (which do not admit metrics of nonnegative scalar curvature), we know that in every conformal class there exists at least *one* negative eigenvalue of $P_{1,g}$. For such manifolds, the following question formulated in [BD] seems natural:

Problem G. *Let M be a Yamabe-negative compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Does there exist an integer $m_0 \geq 2$ such that in* every *conformal class on M, the Yamabe operator $P_{1,g}$ has* at least *m_0 negative eigenvalues?*

It is known from the work of Gromov-Lawson [GL, Ro] that on many manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$ (and on some manifolds of dimension 4), the space of Yamabe-positive metrics (with positive scalar curvature, or equivalently without negative eigenvalues of $P_{1,g}$) can have infinitely many connected components.

On the other hand, Lohkamp ([Lo1]; see also [Ka]) showed that the space of metrics with negative scalar curvature is connected, and has trivial homotopy groups. The following question seems natural:

Problem H. *Let M be a compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Given integers k and m, describe the topology of the space of all metrics g for which the GJMS operator $P_{k,g}$ has at most m negative eigenvalues (i.e., $\lambda_{m+1}(P_{k,g}) \geq 0$). In particular, is that space connected?*

Finally, we mention the following conjecture due to Colin Guillarmou.

Conjecture I (Guillarmou)**.** *Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold (n odd). Then there exists $C > 0$ depending only on the conformal class of g such that*

$$\dim \ker P_{k,g} \leq Ck^n \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Appendix by A. Rod Gover and Andrea Malchiodi.
Non-critical Q curvature prescription.
Forbidden functions arising from non-trivial nullspace.

A.1. **Background.** Some literature and background concerning curvature prescription was mentioned in Section 5. Concerning the problem of prescribing $Q = Q_{\frac{n}{2},g}$ on even manifolds: in [Go3, Ma] it was shown that if the manifold and conformal structure is such that the related critical GJMS operator $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ has non-trivial kernel (i.e., it contains non-constant functions), then global considerations show that large classes of functions cannot arise as the Q-curvature for some metric in the given conformal class. Due to the curious properties of Branson's Q-curvature it turns out that the arguments required in [Go3] are mainly of a linear or quadratic nature and benefit from a conformal invariant identified in [BG1, BG2].

The conformal prescription problem for the other ("non-critical") Q-curvatures is rather different (having polynomial instead of exponential non-linearities). Nevertheless we work with the cases $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$ here (so we exclusively consider the non-critical Q-curvatures) and show that there are again global obstructions to prescription of certain functions, arising from the presence of non-trivial GJMS kernel. In the following k is an integer from the usual range for the GJMS operators except that we shall suppose henceforth that $2k \neq n$ (so k is a positive integer with $2k \notin \{n, n+2, \cdots\}$).

Recall the expression (2.7) defining the Q-curvatures and that in the case $k = 1$ we have $Q_1 = R_g/2(n-1)$, where R_g is the usual scalar curvature. In general the quantity Q_k in (2.7) is called the order $2k$ (non-critical) Q-curvature; for simplicity we shall refer to this as simply a Q-curvature. As in the body of the article, for Q_k we may write $Q_{k,g}$ to emphasise the dependence on the metric g; we similarly treat related quantities.

A.2. **The Problem.** The Q-prescription problem is described in (5.1). The partial differential equation governing this follows from the conformal transformation of the P_k operator, as discussed in Section 2. We summarise the facts from there in a form convenient for our current purposes.

For the conformal transformation of $P_{k,g}$ we have

$$P_{k,\widehat{g}} e^{\frac{2k-n}{2}\omega} u = e^{-\frac{2k+n}{2}\omega} P_{k,g} u, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\widehat{g} = e^{2\omega} g$, $\omega, u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$. So if we take, in particular, u to be the positive function $u = e^{\frac{n-2k}{2}\omega}$, then $e^{\frac{2k-n}{2}\omega} u = 1$, and so we conclude

$$P_{k,\widehat{g}} 1 = u^{\frac{n+2k}{2k-n}} P_{k,g} u. \tag{A.2}$$

Putting (2.7) and (A.2) together we obtain the non-linear equation governing (5.1):

$$\left(\delta S_{k,g} d + \frac{n-2k}{2} Q_{k,g} \right) u = \frac{n-2k}{2} Q_{k,\widehat{g}} u^{\frac{n+2k}{n-2k}}, \tag{A.3}$$

where u is an arbitrary positive function. This generalises the well-known scalar curvature prescription equation which is the $k = 1$ special case.

A.3. **Forbidden functions.** Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ a conformal class of metrics on M. We are interested in what functions we can, or cannot, land on with $Q_{k,\widehat{g}}$, where $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$. Let us fix k and drop it from the notation. So henceforth $P = P_k$ and $Q = Q_k$ for some fixed k with $2k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n, n+2, n+4, \ldots\}$.

A first obstruction one can obtain rather easily, as in [KW1], is that if for some $g \in \mathcal{C}$ Q_g has a given sign, then it is not possible to prescribe a function with the opposite sign: this follows immediately by integrating (A.3). We notice first that for the case $k = 1$ the sign of the Yamabe invariant

$$\inf_{\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\int R_{\widehat{g}} \, dv_{\widehat{g}}}{\left(\int dv_{\widehat{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}$$

coincides with the sign of the first eigenvalue of the conformal Laplacian and determines uniquely the possible sign of the scalar curvature for the metrics in $\mathcal{C}$. This is not the case in general for larger k, due to a lack of maximum principle.

We have next the following observation, more peculiar to the presence of a kernel. Here and subsequently we write $\ker P_g$ for the kernel (or nullspace) of P_g.

Proposition A.1. *Consider a closed manifold M equipped with a conformal structure $\mathcal{C}$, and let $g \in \mathcal{C}$. If $0 \neq u \in \ker P_g$, then u is not in the range of Q. That is, $u \neq Q_{\widehat{g}}$ for all $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Suppose with a view to contradiction that $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Q_{\widehat{g}} = u$. Since $g, \widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\widehat{g} = e^{2\omega} g$ for some $\omega \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$.

Now from (A.1), if $u \in \ker P_g$ then $e^f u \in \ker P_{\widehat{g}}$, where $f = \frac{2k-n}{2}\omega$. So, using that $P_{\widehat{g}}$ is formally self-adjoint, it follows that for any function v

$$\int u e^f P_{\widehat{g}} v \, dv_{\widehat{g}} = 0.$$

Thus, taking $v = 1$, this shows

$$0 = \frac{2}{n-2k} \int u e^f P_{\widehat{g}} 1 \, dv_{\widehat{g}} = \int u e^f Q_{\widehat{g}} \, dv_{\widehat{g}} = \int u e^f u \, dv_{\widehat{g}} = \int u^2 e^f \, dv_{\widehat{g}}.$$

This is a contradiction since $u^2 e^f$ is a non-zero non-negative function. □

More generally essentially the same argument shows that we cannot have $Q_{\widehat{g}}$ equal s_u, where the latter is any function that has the same or opposite strict sign as u: Suppose with a view to contradiction $Q_{\widehat{g}} = s_u$. Then

$$0 = \frac{2}{n-2k} \int u e^f P_{\widehat{g}} 1 \, dv_{\widehat{g}} = \int u e^f s_u \, dv_{\widehat{g}} = \int e^f u s_u \, dv_{\widehat{g}},$$

which is impossible. Thus we have the following result.

Theorem A.2. *Consider a closed manifold M equipped with a conformal structure $\mathcal{C}$, and let $g \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose there exists $u \in \ker P_g \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any function s_u on M with the same or opposite strict sign as u, s_u is not in the range of Q. That is $s_u \neq Q_{\widehat{g}}$, for all $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Observe that if there exists u, as in the Theorem, then there is a huge class of functions satisfying the conditions on s_u: for example $e^f u^p$ where p is an odd positive integer and $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. We record this for emphasis.

Corollary A.3. *If P_g has non-trivial kernel then there is an infinite dimensional space of functions disjoint from $\mathcal{R}(Q)$.*

A.4. **Constraints on $\mathcal{R}(Q)$.** To prove Theorem A.2 we used that given $u \in \ker P_g$ then for any $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\int u e^f Q_{\widehat{g}} \, dv_g = 0 \tag{A.4}$$

for some real function f, which depends on $\widehat{g}$. In fact if $\widehat{g} = e^{2\omega} g$ then $f = \frac{n+2k}{2}\omega$, but the details are not important. The key point here is that e^f is a strictly positive function, thus for u non-zero the display captures some non-trivial constraint on $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ as demonstrated in Theorem A.2 and its Corollary.

Given elements $u \in \ker P_g$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}$, consider the linear form $I_u^g : C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$I_u^g(v) = \int uv \, dv_g \qquad \forall v \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Now let us fix some $g \in \mathcal{C}$, and for the moment also fix some $u \in \ker P_g$. From (A.4), and the conformal transformation of the standard metric measure, we have that if $v = Q_{\widehat{g}}$, for some $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$, then there exists $g' \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\int uv \, dv_{g'} = 0. \tag{A.5}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{R}(Q) \subseteq \bigcup_{g' \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{Z}(I_u^{g'}),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(I_u^{g'})$ denotes the kernel of the map $I_u^{g'}$. This holds for all elements of $\ker P_g$, thus we have

$$\mathcal{R}(Q) \subseteq \bigcap_{u' \in \ker P_g} \left[\bigcup_{g' \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{Z}(I_{u'}^{g'}) \right]. \tag{A.6}$$

By definition $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ depends only on the conformal structure. On the other hand we had fixed $g \in \mathcal{C}$ to describe the right-hand-side here. A different choice would result in each of the elements $u' \in \ker P_g$ being replaced by a positive function multiple $e^f u'$, with the same function e^f for all elements of $\ker P_g$. Examining (A.5), we see that this factor e^f may be absorbed by moving to a conformally related measure. Since we average over all such in the right-hand-side of (A.6) it is clear that in fact this function space is independent of g, and depends only on $\mathcal{C}$.

Since $\ker P_g$ is finite dimensional and $I^g_{u'}$ is linear in its dependence on $u' \in \ker P_g$ we obtain the following refinement of the above.

Theorem A.4. *On a closed conformal manifold* $(M, \mathcal{C})$ *let* $g \in \mathcal{C}$*. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{I} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \left[\bigcup_{g' \in c} \mathcal{Z}(I^{g'}_{u_i}) \right].$$

where $\ell = \dim \ker P_g$*, and* $u_1, \cdots, u_\ell$ *is a basis for* $\ker P_g$*. Furthermore the function space* $\mathcal{I}$ *is independent of the choice of* $g \in \mathcal{C}$ *and the choice of basis* $\{u_1, \cdots, u_\ell\}$*.*

An important special case is prescribing constant Q-curvature. This is related to the Yamabe problem which seeks to find within a conformal class a metric with constant scalar curvature. Note that if there is a metric $g \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $Q_g = \text{constant} \neq 0$ then it is clear from (A.5) that any non-zero element of $\ker P_g$ must change sign on M. But this sign change property is independent of $g \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus by contrapositive we have the following.

Theorem A.5. *On a connected conformal manifold* $(M, \mathcal{C})$ *let* $g \in \mathcal{C}$*. Suppose that there is a non-zero function* $u \in \ker P_g$ *that is either everywhere non-negative, or everywhere non-positive. Then there is no non-zero constant function in* $\mathcal{R}(Q)$*.*

Remark A.6. Note the result in the Proposition is interesting only if u, as described there, has a non-trivial zero locus. Otherwise if u is strictly positive or strictly negative then it follows easily from (A.1) that there is a metric $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $P_{\widehat{g}}$ annihilates (all) constant functions and so the constant function 0 is in $\mathcal{R}(Q)$, see Proposition 5.8.

A.5. **Final comments.** Although we have focussed on the GJMS operators the results here apply more widely. We could replace the GJMS operators with any conformally covariant and formally self-adjoint operator $\overline{P}_k$ (on functions) of the same conformal bidegree and taking the form

$$\overline{P}_k = \delta \overline{S}_k d + \overline{Q}_k,$$

(cf., (2.7)) with $\overline{Q}_k$ non-trivial. Then the $\overline{Q}_k$ prescription theory would mirror that above. Alternative conformal powers of the Laplacian, with these properties, are described in [Go1] (due to M.G. Eastwood and the first author).

Similarly in certain circumstances the restriction $2k \notin \{n+2, n+4, \cdots\}$, on even manifolds, can be relaxed. For example this is the case if the manifold is locally conformally flat or if it is locally conformally Einstein [Go2]; in both settings there is a class of differential operators which extends the GJMS family to these orders.

Acknowledgements

This paper has its origin from discussions between J.D. and A.R.G. during the 2010 Banff workshop on geometric scattering theory. They wish to thank BIRS and the workshop organizers for their hospitality. R.P. wishes to thank McGill University for its hospitality during his various visits to Montreal. In addition, the authors wish to thank Alice Chang, Penfei Guan, Colin Guillarmou, Niky Kamram, Rafe Mazzeo, Peter Sarnak,

Richard Schoen, and Paul Yang for useful discussions related to he subject matter of this paper.

References

[Al1] S. Alexakis. *On conformally invariant differential operators in odd dimension*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **100** (2003), no. 2, 4409–4410.

[Al2] S. Alexakis. *On conformally invariant differential operators*. E-print, arXiv, Aug. 06, 50 pages.

[Au] T. Aubin. *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[BD] C. Bär and M. Dahl. *Small eigenvalues of the conformal Laplacian.* GAFA **13** (2003) 483–508.

[BFR] P. Baird, A. Fardoun and R. Regbaoui, *Prescribed Q-curvature on manifolds of even dimension*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 221–233.

[BS] E. Bogomolny and C. Schmit. *Percolation model for nodal domains of chaotic wave functions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002), 114102.

[BE] J.-P. Bourguignon and J.-P. Ezin. *Scalar curvature functions in a conformal class of metrics and conformal transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), 723–736.

[Br1] T. Branson. *Differential operators canonically associated to a conformal structure.* Math. Scand. **57** (1985), 293-345.

[Br2] T.P. Branson. *The functional determinant.* Lecture Notes Series, 4. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1993, vi+103 pp.

[Br3] T.P. Branson. *Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 3671–3742.

[BCY] T.P. Branson, S.-Y. A. Chang, and P. Yang. *Estimates and extremals for zeta function determinants on four-manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **149** (1992), 241–262.

[BG1] T.P. Branson and A.R. Gover. *Origins, applications and generalisations of the Q-curvature*, American Institute of Mathematics, (2003), http://www.aimath.org/pastworkshops/confstruct.html

[BG2] T. Branson and A. Rod Gover. *Origins, applications and generalisations of the Q-curvature.* Acta Appl. Math. **102** (2008), no. 2-3, 131–146.

[BØ1] T.P. Branson and B. Ørsted. *Conformal geometry and global invariants*, Differential Geom. and Appl. **1** (1991), 279–308.

[BØ2] T.P. Branson and B. Ørsted. *Explicit functional determinants in four dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **113** (1991), 669–682.

[Bren] S. Brendle. *Convergence of the Q-curvature flow on S^4*, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), 1–32.

[Brez] J. Brezin. *Harmonic analysis on nilmanifolds.* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **150** (1970) 611–618.

[Bu] P. Buser. *Riemannsche Flächen mit Eigenwerten in* $(0, 1/4)$. Comment. Math. Helvetici **52** (1977), 25–34.

[Ca] Y. Canzani. *On the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of the conformally convariant operators.* E-print, arXiv, July 2012.

[CGJP1] Y. Canzani, R. Gover, D. Jakobson and R. Ponge. *Nullspaces of Conformally Invariant Operators. Applications to Q_k-curvature.* E-print, arXiv, June 2012.

[CGJP2] Y. Canzani, R. Gover, D. Jakobson and R. Ponge. *Conformal invariants II: high-dimensional nullspace.* Preprint 2012.

[CP] Y. Canzani and R. Ponge. *An Ulhenbeck theorem for conformally invariant pseudo-differential operators.* Preprint 2012.

[CGY] S.-Y.A. Chang, M.J. Gursky and P.C. Yang. *A conformally invariant sphere theorem in four dimensions*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **98** (2003), 105–143.

[CY] S.-Y.A. Chang and P.C. Yang. *Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **142** (1995), no. 1, 171–212.

[CYau] S.-Y. Cheng and S.-T. Yau. *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), no. 3, 333–354.

[Ch] V. Chernov. *Framed knots in 3-manifolds and affine self-linking numbers.* J. Knot Theory Ramifications 14 (2005), **6**, 791–818.

[CR] V. Chernov and Y. Rudyak. *Toward a general theory of linking invariants.* Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 1881–1913.

[CH] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. *Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. I.* Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y.,1953. xv+561 pp..

[DM] Z. Djadli and A. Malchiodi. *Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2), **186** (2008), 813–858.

[DR] P. Delanoë and F. Robert. *On the local Nirenberg problem for the Q-curvatures*, Pacific J. Math., **231** (2007), 293–304.

[DF] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman. *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds.* Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 1, 161–183.

[Di] P.A.M. Dirac. *Wave equations in conformal space.* Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 429–442.

[ES] M.G. Eastwood and J. Slovák. *Semiholonomic Verma modules.* J. Algebra 197 (1997), no. 2, 424–448.

[FR] A. Fardoun and R. Regbaoui. *Q-curvature flows for GJMS operators with nontrivial kernel.* E-print, arxiv:1203.3035

[FG1] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham. *Conformal invariants.* Élie Cartan et les Mathématiques d'Aujourd'hui, Astérisque, hors série, (1985), 95–116.

[FG2] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham. *Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics.* Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), 139–151.

[FG3] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham, The ambient metric, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 178, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. x+113 pp.

[FM1] A. Fischer and V. Moncrief. *The structure of quantum conformal superspace,* in Global Structure and Evolution in General Relativity, ed. by S. Gotsakis and G. Gibbons, Springer (1996), 111-173.

[FM2] A. Fischer and V. Moncrief. *Hamiltonian reduction of Einstein's equations of general relativity.* Nucl. Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) **57** (1997), 142–161.

[Fo] G. Folland. *Compact Heisenberg manifolds as CR manifolds.* J. Geom. Anal. **14** (2004) 521–532.

[GH] A.R. Gover and K. Hirachi. *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian – A complete nonexistence theorem.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), 389–405.

[Go1] A.R. Gover. *Aspects of parabolic invariant theory. The 18th Winter School "Geometry and Physics" (Srní 1998)*, pp. 25–47. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **59**, 1999.

[Go2] A. R. Gover. *Laplacian operators and Q-curvature on conformally Einstein manifolds.* Math. Ann. **336** (2006), no. 2, 311–334.

[Go3] A. R. Gover. *Q curvature prescription; forbidden functions and the GJMS null space.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), No. 4, 1453–1459.

[GP] A.R. Gover and L.J. Peterson. *Conformally Invariant Powers of the Laplacian, Q-Curvature, and Tractor Calculus.* Comm. Math. Phys. **235** (2003), 339–378.

[GW] C.S. Gordon and E.N. Wilson. *The spectrum of the Laplacian on Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds.* The Michigan mathematical journal **33** (1986), no. 2, 253–271.

[Gr1] C.R. Graham. *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. II. Nonexistence.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) **46** (1992), no. 3, 566–576.

[Gr2] C.R. Graham. Talk at the workshop *Conformal structure in geometry, analysis, and physics.* AIM, Palo Alto, Aug. 12–16, 2003.

[GJMS] C.R. Graham, R. Jenne, L.J. Mason and G.A. Sparling. *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, I: Existence.* J. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 557–565.

[GL] M. Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson. *The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature.* Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 3, 423–434.

[GZ] C.R. Graham and M. Zworski. *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry.* Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 89–118.

[GN] C. Guillarmou and F. Naud. *Wave 0-trace and length spectrum on convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds.* Comm. Anal. Geom. **14** (2006), no. 5, 945–967.

[GQ] C. Guillarmou and J. Qing. *Spectral characterization of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds with infinity of positive Yamabe type*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2010**, no. 9, 1720–1740.

[Je] G. Jensen. *The scalar curvature of left invariant Riemannian metrics.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1971), no 12, 1125–1144.

[Ju] A. Juhl. *Families of conformally covariant differential operators, Q-curvature and holography.* Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Vol. 275, 2009, 500 pages.

[Ka] M. Katagiri. *On the topology of the moduli space of negative constant scalar curvature metrics on a Haken manifold.* Proc. Japan Acad. **75** (A), 126–128.

[KW1] J.L. Kazdan and F.W. Warner. *Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **99** (1974), 14–47.

[KW2] J. Kazdan and F. Warner. *Scalar curvature and conformal deformations of Riemannian structure.* J. Diff. Geom. **10** (1975), 113–134.

[Lo1] J. Lohkamp. *The space of negative scalar curvature metrics.* Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 403–407.

[Lo2] J. Lohkamp. *Discontinuity of geometric expansions.* Comment. Math. Helvetici **71** (1996) 213–228.

[Ma] A. Malchiodi. *Conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **3** (2007), Paper 120, 11 pp.

[MS] A. Malchiodi and M. Struwe. *Q-curvature flow on S^4*, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), 1–44.

[NS] F. Nazarov and M. Sodin. *On the number of nodal domains of random spherical harmonics.* Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 5, 1337–1357.

[Ok] K. Okikiolu. *Critical metrics for the determinant of the Laplacian in odd dimensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **153** (2001), no. 2, 471–531.

[OPS] B. Osgood, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. *Extremals of determinants of Laplacians*, J. Funct. Anal. **80** (1988), 148–211.

[Pa] S. Paneitz. *A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.* Preprint (1983). Reproduced as: SIGMA **4** (2008), 036, 3 pages

[PR] T. Parker and S. Rosenberg. *Invariants of conformal Laplacians.* J. Differential Geom. **25** (1987), no. 2, 199–222.

[Pl] A. Pleijel. *Remarks on Courant's nodal line theorem.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (1956), 543–550.

[Ro] J. Rosenberg. *Manifolds of positive scalar curvature: a progress report.* Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XI, 259–294, Surv. Differ. Geom., 11, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.

[TZ] J. Toth and S. Zelditch. *Counting nodal lines which touch the boundary of an analytic domain.* J. Differential Geom. **81** (2009), no. 3, 649–686.

[Wü] V. Wünsch. *On conformally invariant differential operators.* Math. Nachr. **129** (1986), 269–281.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montréal, Canada.
E-mail address: `canzani@math.mcgill.ca`

Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland, New Zealand & Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.
E-mail address: `gover@math.auckland.ac.nz`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montréal, Canada.
E-mail address: `jakobson@math.mcgill.ca`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea.
E-mail address: `ponge.snu@gmail.com`